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#### Abstract

In this study, we consider an inverse free iterative method for approximating a solution of the nonlinear ill-posed Hammerstein type equation $K F(x)=y$. Our approach is to solve $K z=y$ and then $F(x)=z$. We use Tikhonov regularization method for approximating the solutions of $K z=y$ and Frozen steepest descent method for solution of $F(x)=z$. The adaptive parameter choice strategy of Pereverzev and Schock (2005) is used for choosing the regularization parameter. Key words and phrases. Nonlinear ill-posed Hammerstein type operator; Tikhonov regularization; Steepest descent method; Balancing principle.


## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we considered the problem of approximating the solution $\hat{x}$ of the nonlinear ill-posed Hammerstein type equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
K F(x)=y \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F: D(F) \subseteq X \rightarrow Z$ is a Fréchet differentiable nonlinear operator, $K: Z \rightarrow Y$ is a bounded linear operator and $X, Y, Z$ are Hilbert spaces. Throughout this paper, $D(F)$ stands for the domain of $F,\langle.,$.$\rangle and \|$.$\| stand for inner product and norm, respectively.$ Fréchet derivative of $F$ is denoted by $\mathbf{F}^{\prime}($.$) and its adjoint by F^{\prime}(.)^{*}$. A typical example of the Hammerstein type equation (1.1) is

$$
K F(x)(t):=\int_{o}^{1} k(s, t) x^{3}(s) d s
$$

where $K: L^{2}[0,1] \rightarrow L^{2}[0,1]$ is a bounded linear operator defined by

$$
K z(t)=\int_{0}^{1} k(s, t) z(s) d s
$$

with kernel $k(s, t) \in L^{2}([0,1] \times[0,1])$ and $F: D(F) \subseteq L^{2}[0,1] \rightarrow L^{2}[0,1]$ is the nonlinear operator defined by

$$
F x(s)=x^{3}(s) .
$$

In general (1.1) is ill-posed in the sense that the solution need not depends continuously on the right-hand side data $y$. In [6], George studied an iterative Newton-Tikhonov regularization (NTR) method for approximating a $x_{0}$-minimum norm solution $\hat{x}$ of (1.1), where $\hat{x}$ is called an $x_{0}$-minimum norm solution, if

$$
\left\|\hat{x}-x_{0}\right\|=\min \left\{\left\|x-x_{0}\right\|: K F(x)=y, x \in D(F)\right\} .
$$

Further in practice, only an approximation of $y$, say $y^{\delta}$ with $\left\|y-y^{\delta}\right\| \leq \delta$ are available. So one has to consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
K F(x)=y^{\delta} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

instead of (1.1). As in $[1,6,7,8,9,10,14]$, we approach the problem (1.2) by solving the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
K z=y^{\delta} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

first and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=z \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For approximating $\hat{x}$, iterative regularization method are studied by Argyros et.al [1], Argyros et.al [4], George [6], George and Nair[7], George and Kuhanandan [8], George and Shobha [10] and Shobha et.al [14]. Note that, in all these methods, one has to compute the inverse involving Fréchet derivative of $F$ at each iterate $x_{k}$ or at initial guess $x_{0}$.

In the present study, we apply Tikhonov regularization to solve the linear operator equation (1.3) and then we consider the inverse free iterative method to solve the non-linear operator equation (1.4). The method involves, Fréchet derivative of $F$ only at $x_{0}$ (see (3.2)).

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 contains preliminaries, Section 3 contains convergence analysis of inverse free iterative method, Section 4 contains error bounds and source conditions and Section 5 contains finite dimensional realization of inverse free iterative method. Finally the paper ends with an academic example in Section 6.

## 2. Preliminaries

Denote by $B_{r}(x), \bar{B}_{r}(x)$ the open and closed ball in $X$, respectively, with center $x \in X$ and of radius $r>0$. The following assumption is used for obtaining the error estimate.


| Assumption 2.1. There exists a continuous, strictly monotonically increasing function $\varphi$ : $(0, a] \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ with $a \geq\|K\|^{2}$ satisfying; |
| :-- |

$$
\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \varphi(\lambda)=0
$$

- 

$$
\sup _{\lambda \geq 0} \frac{\alpha \varphi(\lambda)}{\lambda+\alpha} \leq \varphi(\alpha), \quad \forall \alpha \in(0, a]
$$

- there exists $v \in X$ with $\|v\| \leq 1$ such that

$$
F(\hat{x})-F\left(x_{0}\right)=\varphi\left(K^{*} K\right) v .
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{\alpha}^{\delta}=\left(K^{*} K+\alpha I\right)^{-1} K^{*}\left(y^{\delta}-K F\left(x_{0}\right)\right)+F\left(x_{0}\right) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known that (see (4.3) in [8]) under the Assumption 2.1

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|F(\hat{x})-z_{\alpha}^{\delta}\right\| \leq \varphi(\alpha)+\frac{\delta}{\sqrt{\alpha}} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Convergence analysis

Let $\delta_{0}>0, a_{0}>0$ be some constants with $\delta_{0}^{2}<a_{0}$ and $\left\|x_{0}-\hat{x}\right\| \leq r$. Let $\delta \in\left(0, \delta_{0}\right]$ and $\alpha \in\left[\delta_{0}^{2}, a_{0}\right]$. Then as in [3], for $\alpha>0$, one can prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{*}\left(F(x)-z_{\alpha}^{\delta}\right)+\frac{\alpha}{c}\left(x-x_{0}\right)=0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a unique solution $x_{\alpha}^{\delta}$ in $B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)$ provided $0<r<\frac{1}{2 k_{0}}$. To obtain an approximation for $x_{\alpha}^{\delta}$, we consider the iteration defined for $n=0,1,2, \cdots$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\beta\left[F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{*}\left(F\left(x_{n}\right)-z_{\alpha}^{\delta}\right)+\frac{\alpha}{c}\left(x_{n}-x_{0}\right)\right] . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We need the following assumption for the convergence analysis of (3.2).

## Assumption 3.1.

(a) There exists a constant $k_{0}>0$ such that for every $x \in D(F)$ and $v \in X$, there exists an element $\Phi\left(x, x_{0}, v\right) \in X$ satisfying

$$
\left[F^{\prime}(x)-F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right] v=F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) \Phi\left(x, x_{0}, v\right),\left\|\Phi\left(x, x_{0}, v\right)\right\| \leq k_{0}\|v\|\left\|x-x_{0}\right\|
$$

(b)

$$
\forall x \in B_{r}(\hat{x}),\left\|F^{\prime}(x)\right\| \leq m
$$

Further, let $\beta, q_{\alpha, \beta}$ be parameters such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta \leq \frac{1}{m^{2}+\frac{a_{0}}{c}} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{\alpha, \beta}=1-\frac{\alpha \beta}{c}+\frac{3 \beta m^{2} k_{0}}{2} r . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.2. Let Assumption 3.1 holds and let $\left(x_{n}\right)$ be as in (3.2) and $0<r<$ $\min \left\{\frac{1}{2 k_{0}}, \frac{2 \alpha}{3 m^{2} k_{0}}\right\}$. Then for each $\delta \in\left(0, \delta_{0}\right]$ and $c \leq \alpha$. Then the sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)$ is in $B_{2 r}\left(x_{0}\right)$ and converges to $x_{\alpha}^{\delta}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Further,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{\alpha}^{\delta}\right\| \leq q_{\alpha, \beta}^{n+1}\left\|x_{0}-x_{\alpha}^{\delta}\right\| \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q_{\alpha, \beta}$ is as in (3.4).

Proof: Clearly, $x_{0} \in \overline{B_{2 r}\left(x_{0}\right)}$. Let $M_{n}:=\int_{0}^{1} F^{\prime}\left(x_{\alpha}^{\delta}+t\left(x_{n}-x_{\alpha}^{\delta}\right)\right) d t$. Since $x_{\alpha}^{\delta} \in B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right), M_{0}$ is well defined. Assume that for some $n>0, x_{n} \in B_{2 r}\left(x_{0}\right)$ and $M_{n}$ is well defined. Then, since $x_{\alpha}^{\delta}$ satisfies the equation (3.1), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{n+1}-x_{\alpha}^{\delta}= & x_{n}-x_{\alpha}^{\delta}-\beta\left[F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{*}\left(F\left(x_{n}\right)-F\left(x_{\alpha}^{\delta}\right)\right)+\frac{\alpha}{c}\left(x_{n}-x_{\alpha}^{\delta}\right)\right] \\
= & x_{n}-x_{\alpha}^{\delta}-\beta\left[F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{*} M_{n}+\frac{\alpha}{c} I\right]\left(x_{n}-x_{\alpha}^{\delta}\right) \\
= & x_{n}-x_{\alpha}^{\delta}-\beta\left[F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{*}\left(M_{n}-F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)\right]\left(x_{n}-x_{\alpha}^{\delta}\right) \\
& -\beta\left[F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{*} F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\frac{\alpha}{c} I\right]\left(x_{n}-x_{\alpha}^{\delta}\right) \\
= & {\left[I-\beta\left(F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{*} F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\frac{\alpha}{c} I\right)\right]\left(x_{n}-x_{\alpha}^{\delta}\right) } \\
& -\beta\left[F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{*}\left(M_{n}-F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)\right]\left(x_{n}-x_{\alpha}^{\delta}\right) . \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Using Assumptions 3.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{n+1}-x_{\alpha}^{\delta}= & {\left[I-\beta\left(F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{*} F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\frac{\alpha}{c} I\right)\right]\left(x_{n}-x_{\alpha}^{\delta}\right) } \\
& -\beta F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{*} F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) \int_{0}^{1} \Phi\left(x_{\alpha}^{\delta}+t\left(x_{n}-x_{\alpha}^{\delta}\right), x_{0}, x_{n}-x_{\alpha}^{\delta}\right) d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now since $I-\beta\left(F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{*} F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\frac{\alpha}{c} I\right)$ is a positive self-adjoint operator,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|I-\beta\left(F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{*} F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\frac{\alpha}{c} I\right)\right\| \\
= & \sup _{\|x\|=1}\left|\left\langle\left(I-\beta\left(F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{*} F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\frac{\alpha}{c} I\right)\right) x, x\right\rangle\right| \\
= & \left|\sup _{\|x\|=1}\left(1-\beta \frac{\alpha}{c}\right)\langle x, x\rangle-\beta\left\langle F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{*} F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) x, x\right\rangle\right| \\
\leq & 1-\frac{\alpha \beta}{c} . \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

The last step follows from the relation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta\left|\left\langle F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{*} F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) x, x\right\rangle\right| & \leq \beta\left\|F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \beta m^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{m^{2}+\frac{a_{0}}{c}} m^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{m^{2}+\frac{\alpha}{c}} m^{2}=1-\frac{\alpha / c}{m^{2}+\alpha / c} \leq 1-\frac{\alpha \beta}{c} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by Assumption 3.1, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{\alpha}^{\delta}\right\| \leq & \left(1-\frac{\alpha \beta}{c}\right)\left\|x_{n}-x_{\alpha}^{\delta}\right\| \\
& +\beta m^{2} k_{0} \int_{0}^{1}\left((1-t)\left\|x_{\alpha}^{\delta}-x_{0}\right\|+t\left\|x_{n}-x_{0}\right\|\right) d t\left\|x_{n}-x_{\alpha}^{\delta}\right\| \\
\leq & \left(1-\frac{\alpha \beta}{c}+\beta \frac{3 k_{0} m^{2} r}{2}\right)\left\|x_{n}-x_{\alpha}^{\delta}\right\| \\
\leq & q_{\alpha, \beta}\left\|x_{n}-x_{\alpha}^{\delta}\right\| . \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $q_{\alpha, \beta}<1$, we have

$$
\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{\alpha}^{\delta}\right\|<\left\|x_{0}-x_{\alpha}^{\delta}\right\| \leq r
$$

and

$$
\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{0}\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{\alpha}^{\delta}\right\|+\left\|x_{0}-x_{\alpha}^{\delta}\right\| \leq 2 r
$$

i.e., $x_{n+1} \in B_{2 r}\left(x_{0}\right)$. Also, for $0 \leq t \leq 1$,

$$
\left\|x_{\alpha}^{\delta}+t\left(x_{n+1}-x_{\alpha}^{\delta}\right)-x_{0}\right\|=\left\|(1-t)\left(x_{\alpha}^{\delta}-x_{0}\right)+t\left(x_{n+1}-x_{\alpha}^{\delta}\right)\right\|<2 r .
$$

Hence, $x_{\alpha}^{\delta}+t\left(x_{n+1}-x_{\alpha}^{\delta}\right) \in B_{2 r}\left(x_{0}\right)$ and $M_{n+1}$ is well defined. Thus, by induction $x_{n}$ is well defined and remains in $B_{2 r}\left(x_{0}\right)$ for each $n=0,1,2, \cdots$. By letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.2), we obtain the convergence of $x_{n}$ to $x_{\alpha}^{\delta}$. The estimate (3.5) now follows from (3.8).

## 4. Error bounds under source conditions

In this section, we need the following assumptions in addition to the earlier assumptions to obtain the error bound.

Assumption 4.1. There exists a continuous, strictly monotonically increasing function $\varphi_{1}$ : $(0, b] \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ with $b \geq\left\|F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|^{2}$ satisfying;

$$
\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \varphi_{1}(\lambda)=0
$$

$\bullet$

$$
\sup _{\lambda \geq 0} \frac{\alpha \varphi_{1}(\lambda)}{\lambda+\alpha} \leq \varphi_{1}(\alpha), \quad \forall \alpha \in(0, b]
$$

- there exists $v \in X$ with $\|v\| \leq 1$ such that

$$
x_{0}-\hat{x}=\varphi_{1}\left(F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{*} F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right) v .
$$

Assumption 4.2. For each $x \in B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)$, there exists a bounded linear operator $G\left(x, x_{0}\right)$ such that

$$
F^{\prime}(x)=F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) G\left(x, x_{0}\right)
$$

with $\left\|G\left(x, x_{0}\right)\right\| \leq k_{1}$
Let $k_{1}<\frac{1-k_{0} r}{1-c}$ and assume that $\varphi_{1}(\alpha) \leq \varphi(\alpha)$. Proof of the following Theorems 4.3, 4.4 and 4.5 are analogous to the proof of Theorems 3.14, 3.15 and 3.16 in [1].

THEOREM 4.3. (cf. [1], Theorem 3.14) Let $x_{\alpha}^{\delta}$ be the solution of (3.1) and Assumption 4.1 and Assumption 4.2 hold. Let $0<r<\min \left\{\frac{1}{2 k_{0}}, \frac{2 \alpha}{3 c m^{2} k_{0}}\right\}$ and $k_{1}<\frac{1-k_{0} r}{1-c}$. Then

$$
\left\|x_{\alpha}^{\delta}-\hat{x}\right\| \leq \frac{\varphi_{1}(\alpha)+\left\|F(\hat{x})-z_{\alpha}^{\delta}\right\|}{1-k_{0} r-(1-c) k_{1}}
$$

THEOREM 4.4. (cf. [1], Theorem 3.15) Let $\left(x_{n}\right)$ be as in (3.2). Assumption 2.1 hold and $\varphi_{1}(\alpha) \leq \varphi(\alpha)$ and assumptions in Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 3.2 hold. Then

$$
\left\|x_{n}-\hat{x}\right\| \leq q_{\alpha, \beta}^{n} r+K\left(2 \varphi(\alpha)+\frac{\delta}{\sqrt{\alpha}}\right)
$$

where $K=\frac{1}{1-K_{0} r-(1-c) k_{1}}$.
THEOREM 4.5. (cf. [1], Theorem 3.16) Let $\left(x_{n}\right)$ be as in (3.2) and assumptions in Theorem 4.4 holds. Let

$$
n_{k}=\min \left\{n: q_{\alpha, \beta}^{n} \leq \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{\alpha}}\right\} .
$$

Then

$$
\left\|x_{n_{k}}-\hat{x}\right\|=\bar{K}\left(\varphi(\alpha)+\frac{\delta}{\sqrt{\alpha}}\right) .
$$

where $\bar{K}=\max \{2 K, r+K\}$

## 5. Finite dimensional realization of method (1.3)

Let $V_{1} \subseteq V_{2} \subseteq V_{3} \subseteq \ldots .$. be a sequence of finite-dimensional subspaces of $X$ with $\overline{U_{n \in N} V_{n}}=$ $X$ and $P_{h}$ is the orthogonal projection of $X$ onto $V_{n}$. Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varepsilon_{h}:=\left\|K\left(I-P_{h}\right)\right\|, \\
\tau_{h}:=\left\|F^{\prime}(x)\left(I-P_{h}\right)\right\|, \quad \forall x \in D(F) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $\left\{b_{h}: h>0\right\}$ is such that $\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left\|\left(I-P_{h}\right) x_{0}\right\|}{b_{h}}=0, \lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left\|\left(I-P_{h}\right) F\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|}{b_{h}}=0$ and $\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} b_{h}=0$. We assume that $\varepsilon_{h} \rightarrow 0$ and $\tau_{h} \rightarrow 0$ as $h \rightarrow 0$. The above assumption is satisfied if, $P_{h} \rightarrow I$ point wise and if $K$ and $F^{\prime}(x)$ are compact operators. Further we assume that $\varepsilon_{h}<\varepsilon_{0}, \tau_{h} \leq \tau_{0}$, $b_{h} \leq b_{0}$.

In the discretized Tikhonov regularization method for solving equation (2.1), the solution of $z_{\alpha}^{h, \delta}$ of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(P_{h} K^{*} K P_{h}+\frac{\alpha}{c} P_{h}\right)\left(z_{\alpha}^{h, \delta}-P_{h} F\left(x_{0}\right)\right)=P_{h} K^{*}\left[y^{\delta}-K F\left(x_{0}\right)\right] \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is taken as an approximation for $F(\hat{x})$.
THEOREM 5.1. (See [9], Theorem 2.4) Let $z_{\alpha}^{h, \delta}$ be as in (5.1). Further if $b_{h} \leq \frac{\delta+\varepsilon_{h}}{\sqrt{\alpha}}$ and Assumption 2.1 holds. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|F(\hat{x})-z_{\alpha, h}^{\delta}\right\| \leq C\left(\varphi(\alpha)+\frac{\delta+\varepsilon_{h}}{\sqrt{\alpha}}\right) . \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C=\max \{m r, 1\}+1$
5.1. A priori choice of the parameter. Note that the estimate $\varphi\left(\frac{\alpha}{c}\right)+\frac{\delta+\varepsilon_{h}}{\sqrt{ } \alpha}$ in (5.2) is of optimal order for the choice $\alpha:=\alpha(\delta, h)$ which satisfies $\varphi(\alpha(\delta, h))=\frac{\delta+\varepsilon_{h}}{\sqrt{\alpha(\delta, h)}}$. Let $\psi(\lambda):=\lambda \sqrt{\varphi^{-1}(\lambda)}, 0<\lambda \leq a$. Then we have $\delta+\varepsilon_{h}=\sqrt{\alpha(\delta, h)} \varphi(\alpha(\delta, h))=\psi(\varphi(\alpha(\delta, h)))$ and

$$
\alpha(\delta, h)=\varphi^{-1}\left(\psi^{-1}\left(\delta+\varepsilon_{h}\right)\right) .
$$

So from (5.2) we have $\left\|F(\hat{x})-z_{\alpha}^{h, \delta}\right\| \leq 2 C \psi^{-1}\left(\delta+\varepsilon_{h}\right)$.
5.2. An adaptive choice of the parameter. Let

$$
D_{N}=\left\{\alpha_{i}=\mu^{i} \alpha_{0}: i=1,2, \ldots N, \mu>1, \alpha_{0}>0\right\}
$$

be the set of possible values of the parameter $\alpha$.
Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
l:=\max \left\{i: \varphi\left(\alpha_{i}\right) \leq \frac{\delta+\varepsilon_{h}}{\sqrt{\alpha_{i}}}\right\}<N \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=\max \left\{i: \alpha_{i} \in D_{N}^{+}\right\} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{N}^{+}=\left\{\alpha_{i} \in D_{N}:\left\|z_{\alpha_{i}}^{\delta}-z_{\alpha_{j}}^{\delta}\right\| \leq \frac{4 C\left(\delta+\varepsilon_{h}\right)}{\sqrt{\alpha_{j}}}, j=0,1,2, \ldots, i-1\right\}$.
THEOREM 5.2. (cf. [9], Theorem 2.5) Let $l$ be as in (5.3), $k$ be as in (5.4) and $z_{\alpha_{k}}^{h, \delta}$ be as in (5.1) with $\alpha=\alpha_{k}$. Then $l \leq k$ and

$$
\left\|F(\hat{x})-z_{\alpha_{k}}^{h, \delta}\right\| \leq C\left(2+\frac{4 \mu}{\mu-1}\right) \mu \psi^{-1}\left(\delta+\varepsilon_{h}\right)
$$

Proof: Analogous to the proof of Theorem 2.5 in [9].
The discretized version of (3.2) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1, \alpha_{k}}^{h, \delta}=x_{n, \alpha_{k}}^{h, \delta}-\beta P_{h}\left[F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{*}\left(F\left(x_{n, \alpha_{k}}^{h, \delta}\right)-z_{\alpha}^{h, \delta}\right)+\frac{\alpha_{k}}{c}\left(x_{n, \alpha_{k}}^{h, \delta}-x_{0}^{h, \delta}\right)\right] \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{0}^{h, \delta}=: P_{h} x_{0}$ and $c \leq \alpha_{k}$. Let

$$
\left(\delta_{0}+\varepsilon_{0}\right)^{2}<\overline{a_{0}}
$$

It is known that for [9, Theorem 3.7.] under the Assumption 2.1

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{h} F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{*}\left(F P_{h}(x)-z_{\alpha}^{h, \delta}\right)+\frac{\alpha_{k}}{c} P_{h}\left(x-x_{0}\right)=0 \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a unique solution $x_{\alpha_{k}}^{h, \delta}$ in $B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right) \cap R\left(P_{h}\right)$ and the following Theorems hold.
THEOREM 5.3. (cf. [9], Theorem 3.8) Suppose $x_{\alpha_{k}}^{h, \delta}$ is the solution of 5.6 and Assumption 2.1 and Theorem hold. In addition if $\tau_{0}<1$, then

$$
\left\|x_{\alpha_{k}}^{h, \delta}-x_{\alpha_{k}}^{\delta}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{1-\tau_{0}}\left(\frac{\delta+\varepsilon_{h}}{\sqrt{\alpha_{k}}}\right) .
$$

Proof: Proof is analogous to the proof of Theorem 3.8 in [9].
The proof of the following Theorem 5.4 is analogous to the proof of Theorem 3.2 in Section 3.

THEOREM 5.4. Let $x_{n, \alpha_{k}}^{h, \delta}$ be as in (5.5) and let $0<r<\min \left\{\frac{2 \alpha}{3 M^{2} c k_{0}}, \frac{1}{2 k_{0}}\right\}$. Then for each $\delta \in\left(0, \delta_{0}\right], \alpha_{k} \in\left(\left(\delta+\varepsilon_{h}\right)^{2}, \overline{a_{0}}\right], \varepsilon_{h} \leq \varepsilon_{0}$ the sequence $\left\{x_{n, \alpha_{k}}^{h, \delta}\right\}$ is in $B_{2 r}\left(x_{0}\right) \cap R\left(P_{h}\right)$ and converges to $x_{\alpha_{k}}^{h, \delta}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Further,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n+1, \alpha_{k}}^{h, \delta}-x_{\alpha_{k}}^{h, \delta}\right\| \leq q_{\alpha_{k}, \beta}^{n+1}\left\|P_{h} x_{0}-x_{\alpha_{k}}^{h, \delta}\right\|, \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q_{\alpha_{k}, \beta}$ is as in (3.4) with $\alpha=\alpha_{k}$.
THEOREM 5.5. Let $x_{\alpha_{k}}^{h, \delta}$ be the solution of (5.6) and Assumption in Theorem 4.3,5.3 and 5.4 hold. If $\varphi_{1}(\alpha) \leq \varphi(\alpha)$, then

$$
\left\|x_{n, \alpha_{k}}^{h, \delta}-\hat{x}\right\| \leq q_{\alpha_{k}, \beta}^{n} r+\left(\left(K+\frac{1}{1-\tau_{0}}\right)+K C\left(2+\frac{4 \mu}{\mu-1}\right)\right) \mu \psi^{-1}\left(\delta+\varepsilon_{h}\right)
$$

where $q_{\alpha_{k}, \beta}$ is as in (3.4) with $\alpha=\alpha_{k}$.

By combing the results in Theorem 5.4 and Theorem 5.5, we obtain the following Theorem.
THEOREM 5.6. Let $x_{n, \alpha_{k}}^{h, \delta}$ be as in (5.5) and assumptions in Theorem 5.5 holds. Let

$$
n_{k}=\min \left\{n: q_{\alpha_{k}, \beta}^{n} \leq \frac{\delta+\varepsilon_{h}}{\sqrt{\alpha_{k}}}\right\}
$$

Then

$$
\left\|x_{n_{k}, \alpha_{k}}^{h, \delta}-\hat{x}\right\|=O\left(\psi^{-1}\left(\delta+\varepsilon_{h}\right)\right)
$$

5.3. Algorithm. The balancing algorithm associated with the choice of the parameter specified in this Section involves the following steps:

- For $i, j \in\{0,1,2, \ldots, N\}$

$$
z_{\alpha_{i}}^{\delta}-z_{\alpha_{j}}^{\delta}=\left(\alpha_{j}-\alpha_{i}\right)\left(K^{*} K+\alpha_{i} I\right)^{-1}\left[K^{*}\left(y^{\delta}-K F\left(x_{0}\right)\right)\right] ;
$$

- Choose $\alpha_{0}=\left(\delta+\varepsilon_{h}\right)^{2}$ and $\mu>1$;
- Choose $\alpha_{i}:=\mu^{2 i} \alpha_{0}, i=0,1,2, \cdots, N$;
- Solve for $w_{i}:\left(K^{*} K+\alpha_{i} I\right) w_{i}=K *\left(y^{\delta}-K F\left(x_{0}\right)\right.$;
- Solve for $j<i, z_{i j}:\left(K^{*} K+\alpha_{i} I\right) z_{i j}=\left(\alpha_{j}-\alpha_{i}\right) w_{i}$;
- $\left\|z_{i j}\right\|>4 C \frac{\left(\delta+\varepsilon_{h}\right)}{\sqrt{\alpha_{j}}}$, then take $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{i}-1$;
- Otherwise repeat with $\mathrm{i}+1$ in place of i ;
- Choose $n_{k}:=\min \left\{n: q_{\alpha_{k}, \beta}^{n} \leq \frac{\delta+\varepsilon_{h}}{\sqrt{\alpha_{k}}}\right\}$;
- Solve $x_{k}:=x_{n_{k}, \alpha_{k}}^{h, \delta}$ by using the iteration (5.5).


## 6. Numerical Example

We consider the space $X=Y=L^{2}(0,1)$ and the operator $K F: X \rightarrow Y$, where $F:$ $D(F) \subseteq X \rightarrow Y$ is a nonlinear operator defined by

$$
F(u)=\int_{0}^{1} k(t, s) u^{3}(s) d s
$$

and $K: X \rightarrow Y$ is a bounded linear operator defined by

$$
K(x)(t)=\int_{0}^{1} k(t, s) x(s) d s
$$

Here

$$
k(t, s)= \begin{cases}(1-t) s, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1 \\ (1-s) t & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

The Fréchet derivative of F is given by

$$
F^{\prime}(u) w=3 \int_{0}^{1} k(t, s) u^{2}(s) w(s) \cdot 0 d s
$$

We have taken exact solution $\hat{x}(t)=0.5+t^{3}$ and initial guess $x_{0}(t)=0.5+t^{3}-\frac{3}{56}\left(t-t^{8}\right)$. Let $\beta=1 / 1000$. Then the error estimates are given in Table 1 and approximate and exact solutions for various values of $\delta$ are given in figures (a) to (f).

| $N$ | $k$ | $\alpha_{k}$ | $\left\\|x_{n_{k}, \alpha_{k}}^{h, \delta}-\hat{x}\right\\|$ | $\frac{\\| x_{n_{k}, \alpha_{k}-\hat{x} \\|}^{h, \delta}}{\sqrt{\delta+\varepsilon_{h}}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32 | 4 | $1.06 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.36 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $7.47 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| 62 | 4 | $1.06 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.67 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $5.28 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| 124 | 4 | $1.06 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.18 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $3.74 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| 256 | 4 | $1.06 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.35 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $2.64 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| 512 | 4 | $1.06 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.91 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.87 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| 1024 | 4 | $1.06 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.18 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.32 \mathrm{E}-02$ |

Table 1. Error estimate

(a) $N=32$

(b) $N=64$
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